UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,625	06/25/2003	Theodore M. Boyl-Davis	BO1-0188US	5054	
60483 LEE & HAYE	7590 03/14/2007 CS_PLLC		EXAMINER		
421 W. RIVEI	•		TALBOT, MICHAEL		
SUITE 500 SPOKANE, W	/A 99201		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3722		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
2 M(NITUS	03/14/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany		/606,625 	BOYL-DAVIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Exa	ıminer	Art Unit					
		hael W. Talbot	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep. Any reply received by the Office later than three month: earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. statutory period will app ly will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATI In no event, however, may a reply be Ity and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. ENED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <i>04 Decem</i>	nher 2006						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action		:					
3) Since this application is in conditio	•	•	prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the prac		•						
	noo unuoi Ex pu	110 Quay10, 1000 0.D. 11,	400 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims			·					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13,15,16,18-26,28-3</u>	<u>31 and 33-48</u> is/a	re pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn fro	om consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13,15,16,18-26,28-3</u>	<u>31_and 33-48</u> is/a	re rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or elec	ction requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner	•						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 Decemb</u>		ı)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ obie	ected to by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any obj		•	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir		= ' '	"					
11) The oath or declaration is objected	_		-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clain	o for foreign prior	ity under 25 H.S.C. & 110	(a) (d) ar (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i loi loi eigii piloi	ity under 55 0.5.C. § 115	(a)-(u) or (i).					
,	v documents hav	ve heen received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies	•	• •						
application from the Internat	-		ived in this ivational Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office act	•	• • • •	ived .					
		c contined copies not rece						
			•					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 		Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Information						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/04/06</u> .	,	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04 December 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-6,10-12,15,16,18-20,24,25,28,29,31 and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cable et al. '027. Cable et al. '027 shows in Figures 1-4 an apparatus comprising a track assembly configured to be attached to a work piece (15) via magnets (13) including at least one rail (11,16) including an elongated, substantially smooth surface having a longitudinally-extending neutral axis and an integrally-formed rack (at 22) with a plurality of tapered/wedge/conical-shaped apertures (22) being uniformly spaced along and disposed within the substantially smooth surface extending along a pitch line that at least approximates the longitudinally-extending neutral axis. Cable et al. '027 shows the track being substantially flat and having a width substantially greater than a thickness causing a stiffer bending moment that extends along the thickness direction and a more pliable bending moment that extends along the width direction (col. 3, lines 9-21). Cable et al. '027 shows a carriage (14) including a drive assembly (motor 23) having an x-axis portion being moveably (col. 2, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/606,625

Art Unit: 3722

33-57) coupled to the track assembly (via wheels 18) and moveable relative to the translational axis (left to right as viewed in Fig. 1) via a drive motor (23) coupled to a drive gear (21) for engaging the rack (col. 2, line 58-72) and a y-axis portion (26) slideably coupled to the x-axis portion (via sleeve 27) and moveable with respect to the x-axis portion along a y-axis oriented transversely to the track assembly and being approximately co-planar (top to bottom as viewed in Fig. 1) with the substantially smooth surface of the rail of the track assembly. Cable et al. '027 shows the drive gear having a plurality of teeth (at 21) operatively engaging the plurality of tapered apertures of the rack (Fig. 2) wherein the apertures are adapted to match a cross-sectional profile of the teeth (col. 2., lines 58-60). Cable et al. '542 shows the carriage supporting a manufacturing tool (24,25) to perform the manufacturing operation of cutting the work piece (col. 2, line 67 through col. 3, line 8).

Cable et al. '027 does not disclose expressly that the plurality of apertures are tapered, wedge or conically shaped. Instead, Cable et al. '027 is silent to the shape of the plurality of apertures. At the time of the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to select a tapered, wedge or conically shaped apertures because Applicant has not disclosed that the tapered, wedge or conically shaped apertures provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the apparatus of Cable et al. '027, and Applicant's apparatus to perform equally well with either the silent shape taught by Cable et al. '027or the claimed tapered, wedge or conically shaped apertures because all three shapes provide the necessary space for engagement with the drive member.

Furthermore, Applicant does not provide any criticality or unexpected results for the plurality of apertures having a tapered, wedge or conical shape as recited in claims 1,4,5,15,18,19,29,33 and 34.

Art Unit: 3722

4. Claims 7-9,21,30 and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cable et al. '027. Cable et al. '027 discloses the claimed invention except for the presence of two parallel rails. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include two rails oriented parallel to one another in lieu of a single rail for the purpose of enhancing the capacity of the manufacturing operations performed by the apparatus because it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Page 4

5. Claims 13,26,41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cable et al. '027 in view of Adams '436. Cable et al. '027 lacks an opposing-force support assembly coupled to the carriage and adapted to be secured to the workpiece to at least partially counter-balance a manufacturing force exerted on the workpiece by the manufacturing tool.

Adams '436 shows in Figures 1-5b an apparatus comprising a track assembly (11) adapted to the work piece (12,14) via fasteners (28) and mounting steps (25) and vacuum pads (29) including at least one rail having an integrally-formed rack with a plurality of apertures (157) extending along a pitch line that at least approximates the longitudinally-extending neutral axis. Adams '436 shows the track being substantially flat and having a width substantially greater than a thickness causing a stiffer bending moment that extends along the thickness direction and a more pliable bending moment that extends along the width direction. Adams '436 shows a carriage (15,20,24) with an x-axis portion (15) including a tool support (62 in Fig. 17) adapted to receive a manufacturing drill tool (17) moveably (col. 3, lines 20-27) coupled to the track assembly and moveable relative to the translational axis (x-axis), a y-axis portion (20,24) slideably coupled to the x-axis portion and moveable with respect to the x-axis portion along a yaxis oriented transversely to the track assembly (must move vertically as viewed in Figs. 7 and

Art Unit: 3722

8 in order to located latch mechanism within a previously drilled hole), and an opposing-force support assembly (22) coupled to the carriage and adapted to be secured to the work piece to at least partially counterbalance a manufacturing force exerted on the work piece by the manufacturing tool (col. 3, lines 20-37). Adams '436 shows the carriage including a drive assembly (144,145,147) having a drive motor (144) operatively engaging the track and adapted to drive the carriage along the track (col. 7, line 73 through col. 8, line 38). In view of this teaching of Adams '436, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Cable et al. '027 to include an opposing-force support assembly as taught by Adams '436 to provide increased stability, reliability and accuracy between subsequent operations performed on a workpiece such as riveting multiple rivets into an airplane wing.

6. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cable et al. '027. Cable et al. '027 does not disclose expressly that the attachment of the track assembly is via a plurality of vacuum attachments. Instead, Cable et al. '027 indicates that the attachment of the track assembly is via a plurality of magnets. At the time of the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to select "a plurality of vacuum attachments" because Applicant has not disclosed that the "a plurality of vacuum attachments" provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the track assembly of Cable et al. '027, and Applicant's track assembly to perform equally well with either "the plurality of magnets" as taught by Cable et al. '027 or the claimed "plurality of vacuum attachments" because both attachment means would perform equally well for securing the track assembly to a work piece.

Furthermore, Applicant does not provide any criticality or unexpected results for "the plurality of vacuum attachments" as recited in claim 22.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,625

Art Unit: 3722

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 04 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive due to Applicant's extensive amendments to the previously evaluated claims,

thus justifying application of a newly discovered reference to Cable et al. '027.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication from the examiner should be

directed to Michael W. Talbot, whose telephone number is 571-272-4481. The examiner's

office hours are typically 8:30am until 5:00pm, Monday through Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Mrs. Monica S. Carter, may be reached at 571-272-4475.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, group 3720 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at FAX number 571-273-8300.

This practice may be used for filling papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filling

papers, which require a fee, by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account.

Please identify Examiner Michael W. Talbot of Art Unit 3722 at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

7 March 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Louica S. Cartes

Page 6